No. 'ID/FD/93-83/42158.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bahel Singh and the management of M/s Muni Lai Sharma & Brothers Brick Kilan owner Allah Pur, Tehsil Palwal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing 1

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bahel Singh, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/93-83/42165.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kishan and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma & Brothers Brick Kilan owner Allah Pur, Tehsil Palwal, District Faridadad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kishan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/93-83/42172.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Kalu and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers, Brick Kiln owner, Allah Pur, Teh: Palwal Distt. Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted, under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kalu was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/93-83/42179.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Ram Sarup and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Brick Kilan owner, Allah Pur, Tehsil Palwal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Sarup was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?